

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

BILL BAKER
10TH DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA
☐ WASHINGTON OFFICE
1724 LONGWORTH BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-0510
(202) 225-1880
☐ DISTRICT OFFICES
1801 NORTH CALIFORNIA BLVD.
SUITE 103
WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596
(510) 932-8899
100 CIVIC PLAZA
DUBLIN, CA 94568
(510) 829-0813
WEDNESDAY & FRIDAY



MMB
CATH-RHES
POCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
July 23, 1993

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS
AND TRANSPORTATION
SUBCOMMITTEES:
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
INVESTIGATIONS AND OVERSIGHT
SURFACE TRANSPORTATION
COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE,
SPACE, AND TECHNOLOGY
SUBCOMMITTEE
ENERGY
REPUBLICAN RESEARCH COMMITTEE
HEALTH CARE TASK FORCE
VICE CHAIRMAN

The Honorable James H. Quello
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 802
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Mr. Chairman:

I am writing to express my concerns about regulations being promulgated by the FCC regarding the 1992 Cable Act.

It is my understanding that these proposed regulations would bankrupt many small cable operators unless adequate provisions are made to protect small businesses. Sun Country Cable in my congressional district has communicated to me that the proposed regulations would likely prevent them from continuing their operations. I would appreciate it if you would carefully consider the concerns outlined in the attached letter from small cable operators as you proceed with the pertinent regulations.

Thank you for your attention to the concerns of my constituents.

Sincerely,

Bill Baker
Member of Congress

bw
Enclosure

cc: Mr. David D. Kinley and Ms. Lynn Simpson, Sun Country Cable
The Honorable Edward Markey

3019
RECEIVED
AUG 20 1993
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

92-66

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

1 copy

July 13, 1993

Delivered by Hand

The Honorable James H. Quello
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 802
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: MM Docket No. 92-266
MM Docket No. 92-263

Dear Chairman Quello:

Following up your statements regarding the plight of small cable operators in complying with the 1992 Cable Act ("the Act"), we write to urge the Commission to take actions to alleviate unnecessary burdens on these operators. We believe, based upon extensive consultations with our members, that failing to act will seriously impede the ability of small cable systems to provide quality service to subscribers.

The Commission recognizes that Section 623(i) of the Act "requires that the Commission develop and prescribe cable rate regulations that reduce the administrative burdens and cost of compliance for cable systems that have 1,000 or fewer subscribers." Moreover, the public interest standard authorizes exceptions to the general rule where justified. We applaud your public commitment to work to alleviate small system burdens. We urge the Commission:

- To permit small operators to justify their current rates based on a simplified net income analysis. A simple comparison of total system revenues to operating expenses, depreciation and interest expenses for some specified prior period would demonstrate whether the system's current rates require any further examination. A net income analysis would be much simpler to calculate and apply than the benchmark approach.
- To permit small operators to increase rates to the benchmark cap. The Commission has found that rates at or below the national cap are "reasonable." By affording small operators presently charging rates below the cap the option to increase rates to the cap, these systems will retain the flexibility needed to generate necessary capital.
- To authorize small operators to base rates on the bundling of service and equipment charges. The requirement that operators "back out" equipment costs based on "actual cost" from the benchmark rates is a particularly onerous procedural requirement. The Commission should adopt a mechanism that does not force small operators to engage in these calculations.

The Honorable James H. Quello
July 13, 1993
Page 2

- To allow small operators to pass-through rebuild costs. Small operators are generally located in rural areas. Congress and the Commission have long advocated special regulatory treatment to make state-of-the-art communications technology available to rural areas. Permitting small operators to pass-through rebuild costs will increase the chances that rural subscribers promptly gain the benefits of state-of-the-art technology.
- To clarify that the customer service requirements that do not require small operators maintain local offices in each service area community. The local office rule will prove exceptionally onerous for many small operators. Under the rule, a system serving several communities of perhaps 100 subscribers would be obligated to bear the costs of local offices in each community. Any benefits would be clearly outweighed by the costs.
- To commence a rulemaking addressing small system regulatory concerns. The Commission should comprehensively examine, in a separate proceeding, the impact of its regulations on small operators. This rulemaking should identify regulations which, when applied to small operators, are presumptively more harmful than beneficial. It should also discuss alternatives to benchmark regulations for small systems such as system profitability or level of net income. Small operators should be permitted to seek waivers of the identified regulations, with the burden placed on those who favor application of these regulations to the small operators.

We believe that taking these steps will enable small operators to serve their subscribers efficiently, while simultaneously maintaining the Act's consumer protections.

We have filed a copy of this letter with the Secretary for inclusion in the appropriate dockets.

David D. Kinley

David D. Kinley
Small Cable Business Association

Stephen R. Effros

Stephen R. Effros
Community Antenna Television Association

Sincerely,

Michael J. Pohl

Michael J. Pohl for
Coalition of Small System
Operators

Decker Anstrom

Decker Anstrom
National Cable Television
Association

cc: The Honorable Andrew C. Barrett
The Honorable Ervin S. Duggan